Abstract—A new instrument has been developed and deployed for sensitive reception of broadband extremely low frequency (ELF) (defined in this paper as 300–3000 Hz) and very low frequency (VLF) (defined in this paper as 3–30 kHz) radio signals from natural and man-made sources, based on designs used for decades at Stanford University. We describe the performance characteristics of the Atmospheric Weather Electromagnetic System for Observation, Modeling, and Education (AWESOME) instrument, including sensitivity, frequency and phase response, timing accuracy, and cross modulation. We also describe a broad range of scientific applications that use AWESOME ELF/VLF data involving measurements of both subionospherically and magnetospherically propagating signals.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE ANALYSIS of radio waves of extremely low frequencies (ELF) (defined in this paper as 300 Hz–3 kHz) and very low frequencies (VLF) (defined in this paper as 3–30 kHz) is useful for studying the dynamics of the Earth’s ionosphere and magnetosphere as well as subterranean imaging and global communications and navigation. For instance, lightning radiates the bulk of its electromagnetic energy in the ELF/VLF frequency range [1, p. 118], launching signals known as radio atmospherics (or “sferics”) which are almost entirely reflected at the D region (70–90 km altitude) of the ionosphere. These signals (like others in this frequency range) are efficiently guided to global distances in the so-called Earth-ionosphere waveguide. Attenuation rates are typically only a few decibels per megameter [2, p. 389] after the first ~500 km for waveguide mode structure to be established. Propagation characteristics in the Earth-ionosphere waveguide is in general a strong function of the ionospheric conditions, which leads to dramatically different propagation characteristics between daytime and nighttime. In addition, some of the ELF/VLF energy leaks upward in the plasma whistler mode to the magnetosphere, where it can strongly impact the electron dynamics of the Van Allen radiation belts and can be received in the geomagnetic conjugate region [3]. Natural ELF/VLF signals known as chorus and hiss can also be generated in situ in the magnetosphere, particularly at mid and high geomagnetic latitudes, as a result of the interaction between energetic electrons in the radiation belts and ELF/VLF whistler-mode waves (see [4], and references therein). Due to the long-distance propagation of ELF/VLF waves, as well as the relatively deep (10 s of meters skin depth) penetration of ELF/VLF waves into seawater, a number of VLF transmitters operate at frequencies between 10 and 60 kHz for naval communication with surface ships and submerged submarines. A global collection of such transmitters has also been used for accurate navigation via phase-coherent triangulation, such as the so-called “Omega” system [5]. Because ELF/VLF propagation is strongly influenced by D-region ionospheric conditions, these VLF transmitter signals are also used to remotely sense ionospheric disturbances resulting from different physical processes. Moreover, because of the relatively high (hundreds of meters, due to the skin effect) penetration into the Earth, ELF/VLF waves are a useful tool for subterranean prospecting and imaging [6].

The first observations of natural signals at ELF and VLF frequencies were made serendipitously in the late 19th and early 20th century, when these signals audibly coupled into long telephone and transmission lines [7, p. 11]. The first uses of ELF/VLF waves for long-distance communications came as a result of Giglielmo Marconi’s pioneering experiments from 1901 to 1904, which led to the establishment of the first transatlantic communications. Research on ELF/VLF signals grew rapidly in the 1950s, spurred on in part by the International Geophysical Year of 1957. During this period, theories of whistler propagation in the Earth’s magnetopause were developed first by L. R. O. Storey [7, p. 17]. In parallel, understanding of the propagation of waves in the Earth-ionosphere waveguide came from the experimental observations of lightning-generated radio atmospherics (or “sferics”) [8] and theoretical development of the quantitative theory of ELF and VLF propagation [9], [10].

These early observations motivated, and consequently were driven by, advances in hardware and equipment for detection of these ELF/VLF signals. Potter [11] used sound and
of phase information from narrowband signals, without the use of expensive and carefully tuned stable local oscillators. Finally, the proliferation of circuit-board design techniques has enabled faster prototyping and easy reproduction or updating of design iterations.

The Atmospheric Weather Electromagnetic System for Observation, Modeling, and Education, or AWESOME receiver, builds on the decades of legacy in construction and operation of ELF/VLF receivers at Stanford and around the world, taking advantage of technological and processing improvements to advance the performance and minimize the cost of construction. The AWESOME receiver has now been verified through several years of testing and broad scientific usage. In this paper, we describe the AWESOME receiver, its components, design techniques, and then discuss its performance characteristics. A series of example observations illustrate the performance characteristics and their specific utilization in ELF/VLF experimentation.

II. ANTENNA CHARACTERISTICS

The AWESOME receiver uses wire-loop antennas, each sensitive to the component of the magnetic field in the direction orthogonal to the plane of the loop. With two loops, whose planes are orthogonal both to each other and to the ground, it is possible to record the horizontal (i.e., along the Earth’s surface) magnetic field at any location. Although it is also feasible to set up a third loop whose plane is parallel to the ground, for many applications this measurement is not as useful, since vertical magnetic fields are typically much smaller near the ground, except in the case of significant local subterranean inhomogeneities. The AWESOME receiver can also be configured to record a third signal from a vertical electric whip antenna, and although such a measurement is useful for certain specific applications, we focus in this paper only on the electronics and measurements taken with two orthogonal magnetic-loop antennas.

Choice in receiving antenna parameters is discussed by Paschal [12] and Harriman et al. [20] and are briefly reviewed in this paper. ELF/VLF magnetic-loop sensitivity and frequency response are controlled by four dependent antenna parameters: resistance ($R_a$), inductance ($L_a$), area ($A_a$), and number of turns ($N_a$). Sensitivity can be evaluated by comparing the antenna noise with the signal levels that would be induced from a certain magnetic field. Antenna noise is dominated by thermal noise of the wire resistance, given as

$$E_a = (4kTR_a)^{1/2}$$

in units of $\text{V} \cdot \text{Hz}^{-1/2}$, or noise spectral density, where $k$ is Boltzmann’s constant and $T$ is absolute temperature in Kelvin. From Faraday’s law of induction approximated when the wavelength is large as compared to the antenna size, the voltage induced in a wire loop from a magnetic field amplitude $B$ at angular frequency $\omega$ is given as

$$V_a = j\omega N_a A_a B.$$
The above equation allows us to relate a given voltage level at the input of the receiver to an equivalent magnetic field value, since the \( N_a \) and \( A_a \) are characteristics of the antenna. This relation is an important property for calibration (discussed later), since the recorded values can therefore be converted to magnetic field values. Setting the noise level for a 1-Hz bandwidth in (1) to be equal to the voltage from a given magnetic field in (2), we can solve for the value of \( B \) to arrive at a field-equivalent and frequency-dependent signal level for \( E_a \), written as

\[
B_n = \frac{(4kTR_a)^{1/2}}{N_aA_a\omega}. \tag{3}
\]

The quantity \( B_n \) is a measure of the noise level intrinsic to the resistance of the antenna wire. We then normalize the result by \( 1/f \) to obtain a sensitivity metric

\[
S_a = \frac{(4kTR_a)^{1/2}}{2\pi N_aA_a} \tag{4}
\]

in units of T · Hz\(^{1/2}\). The sensitivity as defined in this paper is simply the magnetic-field-equivalent value of the antenna’s thermal noise in a 1-Hz bandwidth, normalized by the factor \( 1/f \).

The other important antenna parameter is the turnover frequency, \( \omega_a = R_a/L_a \). For values of \( \omega \) well above this frequency, the impedance of the antenna \( (Z_a = R_a + j\omega L_a) \) is dominated by the inductance and is therefore proportional to \( \omega \). However, since the induced voltage in (2) is also proportional to \( \omega \), the current induced in the loop \( (V_a/Z_a) \) will remain essentially constant with respect to frequency. The result is a flat frequency response for the magnetic loop for frequencies well above \( \omega_a \).

As mentioned earlier, it is possible to improve \( S_a \) by simply increasing \( (A_aM)^{-1/2} \), i.e., by increasing either the thickness of the wire, the area of the loop, or the number of turns. The antenna impedance also changes with these parameters, but for a specifically chosen antenna impedance (on the basis of the front-end impedance of the preamplifier), a family of different antenna parameters (with significantly varying values of \( S_a \)) can satisfy the impedance requirements. Some examples of antenna parameters fitting the 1.00 Ω and 0.5–1.0-mH impedance are given in [20, Table 2].

Using (2), with values of \( N_a = 5 \) and \( A_a = 25 \) m\(^2\), we see that a 1-pT amplitude signal at 10 kHz induces an electromotive force of only \( \sim 7.8 \) μV in the wire loop, so that even the most sensitive loop is only useful if followed by low-noise amplification. Harriman et al. [20] describe the techniques for this amplification used in the AWESOME receiver, which consists of a transformer followed by a low-noise-amplifier circuit specifically designed for ELF/VLF signals. The transformer–amplifier pair involves balancing several tradeoffs, as described by Harriman et al. [20], but is generally capable of achieving, over ~2 decades of frequency range, sufficiently low-noise figure so to add minimally (i.e., < 10 dB) to the limiting antenna thermal noise and is designed specifically to match a given antenna impedance.
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The low-frequency response of the receiver (~800-Hz cut-off) is limited mostly by the line transformer which matches the preamplifier with the transmission line. However, the low-
frequency cutoff can be selected as 80 Hz, 350 Hz, 800 Hz, or 7 kHz. The first two are used for extremely quiet sites (i.e., located away from 50/60-Hz interference sources) and are achieved with a gain boost to the lower frequencies that roughly compensates the loss in frequency response below the turnover frequencies of the antenna and receiver, thus flattening the response at the low end. The third setting applies no frequency compensation. The 7-kHz high-pass filter is used at noisier sites, like rooftops of a building at a school or, in general, at urban sites, and generally in situations where only VLF transmitter signals in the 15–30-kHz range are of interest. The preamplifier also contains a passive radio-frequency-interference suppression circuit at the front consisting of a series inductor with shunt-to-ground capacitors at both antenna terminals to ensure that high-frequency (HF) RF sources [like AM radio stations or HF transmitters] do not couple in to the receiver. The total power usage of the AWESOME preamplifier is ~1 W, or ~33 mA of current from the ±15-V supply lines.

The line receiver, located indoors, performs antialiasing filtering, GPS time-stamping and synchronization, and passes both analog signal and sampling clock signal to a computer for digitization across a shielded cable. The line receiver also provides power to the preamplifier. Digitization is done with an internal PCI card in the computer, capable of up to three-channel 16-bit sampling at 100 kHz per channel. We currently utilize a card developed by National Instruments, like the 6250M, or a previous two-channel model, 6034E. The antialiasing filter is applied separately on each channel, using a 12th-order elliptical filter at 47 kHz. The filter reaches ~95-dB attenuation by 55 kHz, as shown in the simulated amplitude and phase response in Fig. 2. Although the use of filter orders above eight is rare in practice, a 12th-order filter was employed in this paper in order to push the cutoff as close to 50 kHz as possible, so that VLF transmitter signals at 40.75 kHz (NAU, Puerto Rico) and 45.9 kHz (NSC, Italy) are within the passband of the receiver. The total power usage of the line receiver is ~5 W.

A suitably long shielded multiconductor cable (currently Belden 1217B) connects the preamplifier to the line receiver and consists of four shielded 22 AWG twisted pairs, designated, respectively, to carry power from the line receiver to the pream-
plier and up to three data channels from the preamplifier to the line receiver. For the three data channels, at both ends of the transmission line, a custom-designed line transformer matches the 75-Ω impedance to the output impedance of the preamplifier circuit and the input impedance of the line receiver (1.75 kΩ). Since the resistivity of the cable is rated as ~5 Ω per 100 m and since the preamplifier regulates the ±15-V supply to ±10.8 V (and requires ±11.5-V minimum power voltage for supply-line regulation), the maximum cable length given the preamplifier’s ~1-W power is ~2000 m. Typically, however, 150–500 m is sufficient to locate the antenna far away enough from power lines.

Since broadband data are sampled at 100 kHz, and 16 bits per sample, data will accumulate at ~687 MB/h per antenna. Therefore, an entire 24-h data set on two orthogonal antennas will be ~32 GB. To mitigate the large amount of storage required to capture all of this, custom data-acquisition software has been developed at Stanford. Broadband 100-kHz data can be saved for any desired daily schedule, in continuous fashion or in synoptic mode (i.e., periodic short snippets), the latter often being necessary due to the large volume (~1.5 GB/h) of data. Narrowband data can be saved for as many as 16 channels (limited only by the processing power of the computer) and are typically saved in a single block for the whole day due the much smaller volume of data (~15 MB/h). The software also enables selected amounts of data to be sent over the Internet to a server according to a daily schedule or transferred to another hard drive or storage medium for occasional collection. Live calibrated spectrograms can also be posted on the Internet. A so-called “pac-man mode” is sometimes used, which enables continuous data to be archived for several days backlog, with the oldest day being then deleted to make room for the newest day, to serve as a large buffer useful in the event of geomagnetic storms or other events in which the occurrence is not necessarily known until shortly thereafter. The data-acquisition software also includes a number of features intended to recover automatically and gracefully from a power failure or computer crash. For instance, the software automatically reboots the computer in the event of an interruption with the receiver signals or if the CPU is unable to keep up with the sampling process. Data are not currently being archived in a publicly accessible fashion, but such a system is currently being planned and will be described elsewhere.

IV. CALIBRATION

Calibration enables the digitally recorded 16-b output of the AWESOME receiver system to be directly related to wave magnetic field values, although the frequency-varying gain of the receiver must be taken into account. This result is achieved via injection of a series of known-amplitude signals at the input of the preamplifier and measuring the values at the output. The corresponding value of the magnetic field is known through (2), since the parameters of the antenna, as well as the input impedance of the preamplifier, are known.

Although the calibration reference signal can be injected manually at the receiver input terminals (generally at a series of frequencies between 0 and 50 kHz to span the whole receiver band), the AWESOME receiver includes an internal calibration
circuit which generates a pseudorandom digital sequence 1023 b long, with bit frequency of $\sim 256$ kHz. In the frequency domain, this sequence corresponds to a comb of signals at frequency multiples of $\sim 250$ Hz, with equal amplitudes at all frequencies below 50 kHz. Therefore, a single-calibration reference signal essentially includes all the frequencies to sample the amplitude response of the receiver with $\sim 250$-Hz resolution, since the individual frequency components can be separately treated in postprocessing. This calibration technique has also been used in some earlier Stanford ELF/VLF receivers designed by E. W. Paschal.

By comparison of the calibration signal (separately at each frequency component) with the background noise level, we can also measure the noise levels intrinsic to the hardware of the receiver, including noise induced via its exposure to an electromagnetically noisy indoor environment (as electromagnetic noise may couple into the wires and circuit boards, particularly since shielding magnetic fields is generally not possible without the use of $\mu$-metal material enclosures). Noise which enters the system through the antenna can be excluded, since the calibration signal can be recorded without an antenna attached to the preamplifier, using a “dummy loop” having the same impedance connected instead of the antenna. Furthermore, since the calibration signal corresponds to a specific magnetic field value for a given antenna [using (2)], the noise levels can be “input-referred” or related directly to a magnetic field spectral-density value, although a specific antenna configuration must then be assumed.

Although the pseudorandom calibration signal is incoherent, the phase response can be measured by injecting a signal from a sinusoidal source into one channel of the receiver and simultaneously into the other channel of the analog-to-digital converter (ADC). Since the ADC records one channel directly from the source and one channel from the source via the receiver, the phase difference in the recorded signal can be used to measure the delay in the signal and can be repeated manually for many frequencies. In practice, however, it is found that the phase response varies by a negligible amount between different AWESOME receivers, although the amplitude response may vary slightly ($\sim 1$ dB) due mostly to tolerances to the resistors used in the antialiasing filter. For this reason, an amplitude calibration is usually separately recorded for each receiver when it is placed in the field, whereas a generic phase calibration can be applied universally.

V. GAIN AND SENSITIVITY

We now describe some of the measured performance characteristics of the AWESOME receiver. Fig. 3 shows some measured properties of the AWESOME receiver. The top left panel shows the AWESOME receiver frequency response (in units of millivolts at the output divided by picotesla at the input). Although the measurement is made separately in both channels, only one is presented. In addition, these characteristics vary by a small amount between physical receivers, owing to tolerances in the various components. The 3-dB cutoff points are at $\sim 800$ Hz (where the line transformer begins to attenuate the signal) and at 47 kHz (where the antialiasing-filter cutoff lies).

In order to correspond the calibration-signal strength to an equivalent magnetic field, the size of the antenna must also be known. The frequency response is therefore presented for two different typical right-isosceles 1-$\Omega$ 0.5–1.0-mH antenna sizes: a large loop (25 $m^2$) consisting of a 10-m base and a 5-m height, and second being a smaller loop (1.69 $m^2$) with 2.6-m base and 1.3-m height. We refer to these two sizes (1.69 and 25 $m^2$) consistently throughout the description of the performance characteristics. It should be noted that although the calibration may vary slightly from receiver to receiver, the general characteristics described in this paper apply consistently across all measured AWESOME receivers.

The phase response, shown in the bottom left panel of Fig. 3, is obtained by injecting a sinusoidal signal into the front end of one of the receiver channels and also directly into the ADC as a reference. The derivative of the phase delay with respect to frequency gives the group delay of the receiver. Within the passband (i.e., between 1 and 45 kHz), the group delay is mostly between 25 and 35 $\mu$s (or approximately three samples at 10 kHz), rising above 50 $\mu$s above 40 kHz as the frequency nears the 47-kHz cutoff of the antialiasing filter.

The top right plot of Fig. 3 shows the measured input-referred rms noise levels with solid lines, for the same two antenna sizes as in the top left panel. The theoretical noise level of a noise-free receiver (where only thermal noise from the 1-$\Omega$ 0.5–1.0-mH antenna is present) is shown with dashed lines. The vertical separation between solid and dashed lines is therefore a measure of the noise added in the receiver electronics. We note that the noise measurements are taken when the receiver is deployed in the field, as opposed to inside a $\mu$-metal shielding...
chamber, so all effects of environmental noise coupling into electronics are inherently included. This particular noise response is shown for the 10-dB preamplifier gain setting.

We may use this noise floor to establish the minimum detectable signal (i.e., the smallest signal for which signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is 0 dB), which is a function of the bandwidth of the signal being detected (since the total noise power depends on the bandwidth or, alternatively, on the integration time used in signal detection, which intrinsically sets the bandwidth). VLF transmitter signals operate over a ±100-Hz bandwidth, in the frequency range between 18 and 30 kHz, where the receiver noise levels are \(\sim -10 \, \text{dB} \cdot \frac{\text{fT}}{\sqrt{\text{Hz}}}\), amplitudes for the large antenna configuration, as shown in Fig. 3, top right panel. Hence, the 200-Hz bandwidth includes total receiver noise of \(\sim +13 \, \text{dB} \cdot \text{fT}\), indicating that VLF transmitter signals in this configuration as low as \(\sim 4-5 \, \text{fT}\) can be received with 200-Hz time resolution. Similarly, sensitivity over the ELF frequency range enables detection of signals over a 1-Hz bandwidth (i.e., for signals of longer duration such as chorus needing only 1-s time resolution) as low as \(\sim 1 \, \text{fT}\) with the larger (25 m²) antenna configuration.

The natural ELF/VLF radio environment on the Earth is dominated by the presence of so-called radio atmospheric processes [19], or “sferics,” impulsive (\(\sim 1 \, \text{ms}\)) broadband radiation originating from lightning strokes even at global distances from a given receiver. Typical sferic amplitudes at distances greater than 500 km are 1–100 pT. Other natural sources of ELF/VLF radiation detected on the ground such as chorus [21], hiss [22], and whistlers [7] are often present with substantially smaller amplitudes (< 1 pT). The root-mean-square average spectral density of natural ELF/VLF noise is typically between 1–100 fT/√Hz in this frequency range [19]. Signals can also be generated artificially via HF (3–10 MHz) heating of the auroral lower ionosphere, with amplitudes as strong as several picoteslas [23] or greater. The AWESOME receiver is sensitive enough to detect even weakly present natural ELF/VLF signals.

The choice of gain settings may affect the noise performance of the receiver, at the expense of “clip level,” or the lowest amplitude signal which may cause saturation of the receiver output, which in turn affects the dynamic range. Fig. 3, bottom right panel, shows the input-referred noise response for the receiver (with the 1.69-m² triangle antenna) using all four preamplifier gain settings. Since a higher gain may cause the noise levels generated in the preamplifier to be higher than the noise levels generated in the line receiver, increasing the gain from 0 to 10 dB lowers the input-referred noise response of the receiver (although increasing beyond 10 dB shows only marginal improvements). On the other hand, increasing the gain decreases the threshold clip level. The effective dynamic range can be taken to be between the receiver noise level and the clip level. For instance, using the 0-dB preamplifier gain setting with the larger (25 m²) antenna configuration, the total noise level (i.e., the input-referred field spectral density integrated over the bandwidth) in the passband of the receiver (i.e., between 1 and 47 kHz) is \(\sim 1.6 \, \text{pT}\), or \(\sim 75 \, \text{dB} \, (12.5 \, \text{b})\) below the clip level. At 10-dB gain setting, the broadband dynamic range is \(\sim 71 \, \text{dB}\), while at 20-dB gain, the dynamic range is \(\sim 62 \, \text{dB}\), and at 30-dB gain, the dynamic range is \(\sim 53 \, \text{dB}\).

Hence, while increasing the gain enables smaller signals to be detected, such a result comes at the expense of the ability to fully record the largest signals without incurring receiver saturation. For this reason, the 20- and 30-dB gain settings will likely only be used in situations where only small signals are of interest, since the high gain will reduce the effect of quantization noise, at the expense of dynamic range.

**VI. Timing Accuracy**

An accurate 100-kHz sampling clock is crucial for phase-coherent measurements of VLF transmitters and to maintain the possible use of VLF interferometry involving coherent measurements between sites. For instance, a clock drift of even 1 μs (one tenth of a sample period at 100 kHz) represents 9° of phase uncertainty at 25 kHz, while ionospheric disturbances often occur with phase changes on the order of 1° or less [24]. GPS devices often provide a 1-pulse-per-second (PPS) timing signal, from which the 100-kHz sampling signal must be derived. The GPS timing card used in the AWESOME line receiver (Motorola M12M OnCore) guarantees 10–20-ns absolute timing on its 1-PPS clock, but in order to extend this timing accuracy to the 100-kHz sampling signal, the AWESOME receiver uses a feedback scheme consisting of a 10-MHz voltage adjustable oscillator, whose control voltage is set by a complex programmable logic device (CPLD) via a 10-bit digital-to-analog converter (DAC). The CPLD counts 10-MHz cycles between each 1-PPS GPS pulse and adjusts the 10-MHz oscillator speed accordingly. The 10-MHz clock is then divided down to obtain the 100-kHz sampling clock; therefore, maintaining an exact frequency of the 10-MHz clock drives the generation of an accurate 100-kHz sampling clock. Without the use of a feedback system, the 10-MHz clock is guaranteed accurate to 1 ppm (i.e., 1 μs). A block diagram of the feedback scheme which improves this accuracy by a factor of 10–100 is shown in the top panel of Fig. 4.

At the start of each second, the 100-kHz clock is reset to force a rising edge, but the absolute timing may nominally drift over the course of the second, depending on the frequency error of the 10-MHz clock. In principle, the timing accuracy of such a system should be at least within one period of the 10-MHz clock (i.e., 100 ns or 0.1 ppm), since the CPLD can adjust the 10-MHz clock based on the integer number of cycles between each 1-PPS signal. However, even an error of a fractional number of samples per second of the 10-MHz clock would eventually cause it to overshoot or undershoot the number of counts within each second, so better than 100-ns accuracy should, in general, be achievable. Because the 10-b DAC (i.e., 1024 states) adjusts the speed of the 10-MHz clock over a ±5-parts-per-million range, an accuracy as good as \(\sim 0.01 \, \text{parts per million}\) (or 10 ns) may be achievable with this feedback system, if appropriate oscillator-adjustment schemes are chosen. By design, the timing feedback keeps the 10-MHz clock slightly slower than ideal, but the feedback scheme is designed to keep this margin as small as possible.

This drift present in practice can be quantified by monitoring the phases of VLF transmitter signals, which use extremely stable oscillators to maintain a consistent frequency for
broadcast. These transmitter signals are typically modulated with a minimum shift keying (MSK) 200-Bd communication scheme over a 200-Hz band. Software written at Stanford University for use with the AWESOME (described later) is used for demodulating the MSK-related frequency variations, thereby obtaining an effective continuous-wave (CW) signal with a known phase as compared to GPS. Therefore, timing errors are reflected as a systematic drift in the recorded phase of these signals over the course of each second.

To detect this systematic drift, a number of consecutive periods of data can be summed up, i.e., an average epoch can be calculated by superimposing many epochs on top of each other. The bottom right panel of Fig. 4 shows a 5-s superposed epoch analysis of 23 h of phase data from the NLK transmitter in Jim Creek, WA, operating at one of two frequencies at 24.85 and 24.95 kHz, corresponding to a timing error of ∼60 ns/s, indicating that the absolute accuracy of the timing signal to be no worse than the 100 ns typically afforded by GPS.

VII. CROSS MODULATION AND CROSS COUPLING

In addition to the need for highly phase-coherent sampling, a number of VLF experimental measurements rely on ionospheric modifications induced (either directly or indirectly) by a VLF transmitter, which affect the propagation of a second VLF transmitter path through the disturbed region [25]. Thus, a timing error of ∼0.2° at 24.8 kHz, corresponding to a timing error of ∼28 ns or an accuracy of 0.028 parts per million of the 100-kHz sampling clock. The same test was repeated continuously, and the distribution of these day-averaged errors are shown in the lower right panel of Fig. 4. Of the 91 days, 81% showed phase drifts below 60 ns, and none showed a phase drift above 100 ns. The averaged timing drift is ∼40 ns. These results are consistent with a systematic timing drift time-varying between 0 and 100 ns/s. Additional improvement in the timing accuracy can be achieved by increasing the speed of the 10-MHz clock.

Fig. 5 shows the results of a test to characterize both the cross-modulation and cross-coupling effects. A 25-kHz signal...
is injected into one of the receiver channels, while the second channel is left with no signal. The top panels of Fig. 5 show the spectrum of both channels, with the 25-kHz input signal clearly visible in the top left panel. We note that the sidebands of the 25-kHz signal are a product of the spectrogram windowing and are not really present in the receiver output. The top right panel shows that the injected signal does indeed cross couple between the two channels but at a recorded signal level that is $\sim 70$ dB smaller than the original signal, the rest due to a small amount of coupling, perhaps from the grounding or shared power-supply sources between the two channels. The second two panels in Fig. 5 show a narrowband filter applied around 25 kHz, with a $\pm 25$-Hz band, in order to extract the amplitudes of both the directly injected signal as well as the cross-coupled signal. The lower four panels of Fig. 5 show identical narrowband filter but applied at frequencies that are 400 Hz and 3 kHz higher than the 25-kHz input signal. As the third pair of panels show, a small cross-modulation effect is shown in the same channel as the injected signal at a frequency of 25.4 kHz, but this detected signal is again $\sim 70$ dB smaller than the 25-kHz signal itself and is actually due to the imperfections of the digital finite-impulse-response filter applied. There is no cross modulation on the other channel, and furthermore, there is no detectable cross modulation (within at least 80 dB of the input signal) on either of the two channels at 28 kHz. Although not shown, similar tests at other frequencies yielded very similar results. The result implies that there will be no receiver-induced cross modulation between two strong signals, particularly if one of those strong signals undergoes amplitude modulation, as in the case of VLF transmitter keying [26].

VIII. SAMPLE DATA

We now proceed to show some sample data taken with the AWESOME receiver. Fig. 6, top panel, shows a 1-min segment of data, recorded at Chistochina, Alaska (at $62^\circ37^\prime$ N, $14^\circ37^\prime$ W). Only data from one of the two operating antennas are shown. ELF/VLF data recorded at this particular location are exceptionally free of electromagnetic interference due to the remoteness of the location (i.e., away from cities and strong power lines) and the long cable between antenna and computer ($\sim 500$ m at the time of this recording). The top panel shows the data in spectrogram form, i.e., the data are divided into overlapping discrete time bins, with a short-time Fourier transform then performed on each time bin, between 0 and 50 kHz. The amplitude of received signals in each frequency bin, and for each time bin, is indicated with the color bar. In the top spectrogram, we divide the data into 10-ms bins, so that the $\Delta F$ frequency resolution is 100 Hz. In the bottom spectrogram, the data bin size is 50 ms (i.e., $\Delta F = 20$ Hz). Longer bins have less bandwidth within each bin, and therefore less noise, although the time resolution is correspondingly reduced.

The horizontal lines in the top panel between 18 and 28 kHz correspond to VLF transmitter signals operating for long-range communication with submerged submarines. The pulsed lines between 11 and 15 kHz originate from a set of three transmitters across Russia known as the Alpha network. These transmitters, each of which alternate between three different frequencies, using 400-ms pulses and a 3.6-s cycle time, serve as a navigation beacon via amplitude and phase triangulation of the signal from the three transmitters and are analogous in principle to the “Omega” transmitters [5]. A particular experiment involving these Alpha signals is discussed later.

The thin vertical lines in the spectrogram are so-called radio atmospherics, or sferics, which originate from lightning strikes at global distances. Although these sferics propagate efficiently in the Earth-ionosphere waveguide at VLF frequencies, a portion of the propagating signal leaks upward through the ionosphere, entering the magnetosphere in the form of a whistler-mode plasma wave. In the presence of field-aligned electron-density structures in the magnetosphere known as ducts, these “whistlers” can be guided to the geomagnetic conjugate point, exhibiting strong dispersion due to frequency-dependent propagation speeds well below c, although the availability of ducts is a strong function of magnetospheric and geomagnetic conditions. The phenomenology of whistlers is described in detail in [7]. The bottom panel of Fig. 6 shows a close-up of one of these received whistlers, where a number of discrete frequency-time traces are visible, possibly corresponding to multiple available ducts in different places, each guiding the VLF radiation from the same lightning source. The whistler is also surrounded by a band of energy between 2 and 4 kHz,
known as plasmaspheric hiss, a form of natural noise present in the radiation belts, sometimes formed by repeated injection of energy from lightning strikes into these ducts.

Fig. 7 shows sample data taken at a site near Stanford University, electromagnetically quiet enough for VLF recordings although not as quiet as Chistochina. The antenna used is also slightly smaller and less sensitive than that at Chistochina. As shown in the first 4 s of the top left panel, the data below ∼5 kHz are affected by harmonics of ∼60 Hz coupled from power lines, so-called “hum.” In this particular case, we have applied a technique to the second half of this record in order to mitigate the hum. The time-varying fundamental frequency of the power-line fields is first calculated, and the data are then convolved with an exponentially decreasing pulse train spaced out by the time-varying fundamental period. The resulting signal consists only of the frequency components at harmonic multiples of the fundamental frequency, and this result is then subtracted from the original data. This technique can be used to substantially reduce the hum visible in the spectrogram, with minimal change to the frequency content of real signals like radio atmospherics. An example of a radio atmospheric is shown in the bottom left panel of Fig. 7, exhibiting a duration and shape that is dependent on the characteristics of the lightning and the specifics of the propagation in the Earth-ionosphere waveguide [32].

A number of VLF transmitters are also detectable in the frequency range between 19 and 26 kHz, as shown in the top left panel of Fig. 7. These transmitters typically communicate with MSK modulation, in which the frequency takes on one of two values, representing a binary signal. Many transmitters operate with 200 Bd, i.e., bit durations of 5 ms, and a ±50-Hz frequency shift but covering ± ∼100 Hz of spectrum from the nominal center frequency. The top right panel shows a zoom-in of the transmitter signal from NWC, operating at 19.8 kHz and located in North West Cape, Australia (21° 48.96′ S, 116° 9.96′ E), and received at Stanford University. The MSK pattern can be clearly seen as frequency variations in 5-ms increments.

The amplitude and phase of these VLF transmitters received at a certain location are indicators of ionospheric changes and have been used to remotely sense a wide variety of geophysical phenomena. The bottom right panels of Fig. 7 show the amplitude (above) and phase (below) of the NLK transmitter in Washington State, U.S. (operating at 24.8 kHz) in red (48° 12.18′ N, 121° 55.02′ W), and the NWC transmitter in blue, recorded over a 72-h period at Stanford University (37° 4′ N, 122° 15′ W). We note that the NLK transmitter is much closer to Stanford (∼1.2 Mm) than the NWC transmitter (∼14.4 Mm) and is nearly directly north from Stanford, whereas the NWC–Stanford path is significantly east–west. Despite the very large distance from Stanford (more than 1/3 the circumference of the Earth), the NWC transmitter signal is clearly and unambiguously detected during the entire period, with signal amplitudes nearly always remaining > 20 dB above the ∼4–5-T threshold. Both transmitter signals also show a clear diurnal pattern, with signal amplitudes that are higher during the ionospheric nighttime, when attenuation is smaller [2, p. 387]. However, the long east–west component of the NWC–Stanford path means that there is a relatively short period during which the path is entirely night, around 12 UT of each day. The periodic peaks and nulls that follow this period occur as a result of the sunrise terminator moving across the Pacific Ocean between Stanford and Australia, causing mode conversion to occur at the terminator [33]. The phase also shows a clear diurnal pattern and an advance in the phase (due to a lowering of the ionospheric reflection height) as the nighttime ionospheric path turns into a daytime one.

IX. APPLICATIONS

We now describe a number of particularly useful applications of EL/FL/VLF data taken from the AWESOME receiver, in the context of geophysical studies of the ionosphere and magnetosphere. A number of other potential applications (such as imaging of underground structures, geophysical prospecting) are not covered in this paper.

A. Radio Atmospherics

The natural radio noise in the EL/FL/VLF range is dominated by impulsive radiation from lightning strokes known as sferics [19]. The global lightning rate is estimated to be ∼40/s but with strong diurnal, seasonal, and geographic variations [34]. However, since lightning events often consist of multiple strokes [1, p. 10] and since these sferics can be detected at global distances, or even multiple times from the same stroke, the sferic rate at a given receiver can be as high as hundreds per second.

Data from the AWESOME receiver can be very useful to study the properties of lightning that generates these sferics, the global occurrence of lightning, as well as the ionosphere along the propagation path. Fig. 8 shows the correspondence...
between a so-called terrestrial gamma-ray flash (TGF) and a radio atmospheric detected at Palmer Station, Antarctica, as was reported by Inan et al. [35]. TGFs are short (∼1 ms) bursts of gamma-rays discovered first by the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory [36] and later by the RHESSI spacecraft [37] and are presumed to be originating from bremsstrahlung from energetic electrons up to 35 MeV and occurring within a few milliseconds from lightning strokes [38], [39].

The left panel of Fig. 8 shows data from the Lightning Imaging Sensor (LIS) spacecraft indicated in red, whereas the blue dot and circle show the location of the RHESSI spacecraft, between Cuba and Florida, when a TGF event was detected on May 31, 2002, as was also reported by [35]. The RHESSI photon data are shown in the top right plot. The ELF/VLF waveform at Palmer Station, Antarctica (after accounting for the propagation delay to ∼10 Mm) shows a clearly defined sferic within ∼1 ms of the expected time of arrival (in this case, shown at t = 0), as is shown in the right center panel. Since the sferic is separately recorded on both the north–south and east–west antenna, the sferic can be determined to have arrived from a certain direction with ∼1° accuracy [31]. In addition, the sferic indicated (with green) was the only one arriving from the region of RHESSI within the ±10-μs window, whereas the others (labeled in black) are determined to have arrived from different directions.

Furthermore, the large number of sferics enables phenomenology of storms even from a single site. In the bottom right panel, a histogram of all sferics received over a 30-min period around the TGF time is sorted by arrival azimuth. The specific direction toward the RHESSI spacecraft exhibited a very large number of sferics, consistent with the presence of a strong thunderstorm in that area. With multiple sites, it is possible to determine the locations of individual sferics via time or arrival and direction-finding triangulation [40].

B. Ionospheric Remote Sensing

Ionospheric disturbances affect the propagation of the VLF transmitter signals described earlier, changing their received amplitudes/phases at a given receiver. These ionospheric disturbances are now known to be associated with an extremely broad array of geophysical phenomenon, including direct heating from lightning [41], electron precipitation induced by lightning [42], auroral precipitation [43], sprites [44], solar flares [45], geomagnetic storms [46], earthquakes [47], magnetars [48], solar eclipses [49], and gamma-ray bursts [50]. The associated VLF perturbations therefore lead to insight on the physical processes as well as their impact on the ionosphere.

While recording the amplitude of the transmitter signal is straightforward, measuring the phase requires detecting and removing the MSK modulation pattern so that the VLF transmitter signal can be treated as if it were a CW transmission. The recording software currently utilized enables this phase-demodulation process on a large number of VLF transmitter channels simultaneously, with the amplitude and phase extracted in real time. The time resolution of these data is typically 20 ms, fast enough to capture the temporal development of VLF perturbations. A basic approach to this MSK demodulation process is described by Paschal [16], but the details of the algorithm for extraction of the MSK-demodulated phase will be described elsewhere.

Two examples of the detection of direct-coupled ionospheric disturbances induced by lightning (occurring just 5.6 s apart), or so-called Early–fast events, are shown in Fig. 9. The top left panels show the two radio atmospherics which generated these so-called Early/fast VLF event. These events are detected on the NML transmitter at 25.2 kHz from LaMoure, North Dakota, and received at Taylor, Indiana. The transmitter signal over a 0.4-s period for each case is shown in the top right panels of Fig. 9, which correspond to the times of the two radio atmospherics in the top left panels. Although the radio atmospheric is brief (appearing only as a thin vertical line in the spectrogram), the change in the transmitter-signal strength is immediately apparent and persistent. The bottom left panel shows the NML transmitter signal over a longer segment of time (to include both events), where the complete recovery (on the order of tens to hundreds of seconds [51]) can be observed separately for both events. The ∼2-dB perturbation is clearly stronger than the typical noise variations that existed during this period. Furthermore, the perturbation can also be detected in the phase plot, with the phase of the NML changing by ∼12° for the first perturbing event. However, no significant phase anomaly is present for the second ionospheric disturbance, which is likely a consequence of the changing ionospheric conditions induced by the earlier lightning stroke.
Early/fast events are associated in many cases with sprites [52], which occur through heating and ionization of the D-region in association with a powerful lightning stroke, and some Early/fast events may also be connected to in-cloud lightning activity [53].

Although not shown, we note that no similar perturbation was found on any other VLF transmitter signals detected at Taylor, indicating that the ionospheric disturbance is likely localized spatially. A closely spaced array of such receivers in the Western U.S. has been used to deduce the area of lightning-associated ionospheric disturbances [54].

C. ELF/VLF Generation and Detection

VLF transmitter signals typically operate in the 18–30-kHz range, as such frequencies can be generated by a tall vertical dipole, and can propagate with very low attenuation (a few decibels per megameter) in the Earth-ionosphere waveguide. In addition, the deep penetration into conductive seawater due to the skin effect enables communications with submerged submarines. However, generation of signals below ∼10 kHz (where even deeper penetration into seawater occurs) becomes increasingly less efficient given the practical constraints of using a sufficiently tall vertical dipole. Unfortunately, a long horizontal dipole is also inefficient because of its close proximity to the conducting ground beneath it. For instance, the ELF facilities located in Wisconsin and Michigan used grounded horizontal wire to operate at 76 Hz, but even with a length of about 150 km, these sites managed to radiate only ∼10 W [55].

For this reason, ELF/VLF generation via modulated heating of natural ionospheric currents [56], [57] has been investigated as a possible means of ELF/VLF communications [58]. The High-frequency Active Auroral Research Program (HAARP) facility located near Gakona, Alaska (62.39° N, 145.15° W), uses 3.6 MW of HF (2.7–10 MHz) power in a phased-array configuration to heat the ionosphere with a focused beam (∼5°–30° width), thereby changing the ionospheric conductivity. By modulation of this heating in the presence of the auroral electron jet (so that the electrons are heated and then cool periodically), the periodic conductivity changes turn the lower ionosphere into a large radiating antenna, whose generated signals can be detected across Alaska [23] and at distances at least 4400 km [59]. A technique known as geometric modulation generates stronger signals (7–11 dB), and an ELF/VLF phased array, via motion of the HF beam and no modulation of the power [60].

Fig. 10, top left panel, shows the placement of AWESOME receivers for detection of subionospherically propagating VLF signals generated above the HAARP facility using AM-modulated HF heating, at three different sites in Alaska: Chistochina (62.61° N, 144.62° W, 37 km from HAARP), Juneau (58.59° N, 134.90° W, 704 km SE of HAARP), and Kodiak (57.87° N, 152.88° W, 661 km SW of HAARP). Each of the Alaska sites use large 1-Ω antennas 18 m² in area or larger. Midway Atoll is located at 21.21° N, 177.38° W, 4466 km SE of HAARP, where a substantially smaller 1.7-m² antenna is used. The lower left hand panel show the detected 2375-Hz signals from HAARP for each of the four sites on March 1, 2007, during a 37-min period when the transmission format included 3-s long tones at 2375 Hz, which are clearly detected in the spectrogram at all four sites (i.e., with integration times in the 100-ms range). (Bottom left panel) Signal amplitude tracked at all four receivers over a 37-min period, showing some independence of the variation due to changing ionospheric conditions along the path or changing ionosphere or electrojet conditions above HAARP.
the HAARP input power was increased from 960 kW to 3.6 MW, which also increased the generated ELF signal strengths. Fig. 10 shows exceptionally strong signals received at Midway, up to $-32$ dB·pT (or $\sim 200$ times more power than the original detection of HAARP signals at Midway). These signal levels are strong enough to be easily detected in a spectrogram, so that the signal strength can be tracked on a seconds-long timescale over a long period (in this case, 40 min long), as shown in the lower left panel of Fig. 10. The signal received at the receiver is therefore a diagnostic both of the directionality and strength of the ionospheric source above HAARP, as well as the ionospheric paths in between HAARP and the various receivers.

**D. Magnetospheric Signals**

A network of AWESOME receivers at high latitudes also enables simultaneous detection of magnetospherically generated signals, such as chorus. Fig. 11 shows a 15-s segment of data at five different AWESOME receivers across Alaska, spanning a wide range of $L$-shells (between 3.5 and 6) and longitudes, on February 15, 2007, 0105 UT. Due to the noise levels at Yakutat and the fact that only a small antenna is used there, the detection threshold is substantially higher than the other sites, but the chorus activity is nonetheless detected. The hum-subtraction filter described earlier is applied to Yakutat, Valdez, and Kodiak in order to mitigate the noise levels generated by 60-Hz harmonic radiation.

This case is analyzed more thoroughly by Gołkowski and Inan [21]. However, a simple comparison of the spectrograms reveals that this particular chorus activity was widespread both in geomagnetic latitude and longitude, being received simultaneously at all five sites. On the other hand, the individual chorus elements differ in terms of which site detects them with the highest amplitude. For instance, the most visible chorus element at Kodiak, at $\sim 01:05:55$ UT, does not correspond with the strongest chorus elements at Valdez (at $\sim 01:05:50$ UT and $\sim 01:05:58$ UT), which is at a similar longitude but a higher latitude. However, the chorus activity at Juneau, Valdez, and Yakutat, which are at nearly identical geomagnetic latitudes seem to correspond quite well with each other. Gołkowski and Inan [21] attributed these observations to multiple exit points from the magnetosphere, after using methods to geolocate these magnetospheric exit points via direction finding and time of arrival.

Whistler-mode signals can also originate from man-made sources, such as VLF transmitters [61] and modulated HF heating of the auroral electrojet [62], [63]. The key difference between whistler-mode signals and signals propagating in the Earth-ionosphere waveguide is the polarization, with the former being largely circularly polarized, while the latter is largely linearly polarized. The use of two orthogonal antennas causes subionospherically propagating signals to be clearly distinguished from magnetospherically propagating signals reentering the Earth-ionosphere cavity. Fig. 12 shows one such instance from a receiver placed in Adelaide, Australia.
COHEN et al.: SENSITIVE BROADBAND ELF/VLF RADIO RECEPTION

subionospheric signal. The 500–600-ms propagation delay of the magnetospherically propagating signal implies a group velocity of 0.10–0.15 c, since a centered dipole assumption for the Earth’s magnetic field yields a path length of ∼2.2 Mm. This is consistent with the typical speeds of whistler-mode propagation in the magnetosphere. Long-term analysis of these midlatitude magnetospheric signals enable a better understanding of both the evolving magnetospheric conditions, like the availability of ducts and the nature of the wave–particle interactions that drive the growth. The nature of these processes are relatively poorly understood for lower latitude sites, where the propagation paths are shorter, the magnetosphere is much more stable in the face of geomagnetic disturbances, the equatorial region (and therefore the growth region) is shorter, and the availability of ducts are likely different in general. Triggered emissions as have been observed with high-latitude ducted magnetospheric signals [3] may also be observed at these midlatitude sites.

X. CONCLUSION

A new instrument has been developed and deployed for sensitive reception of broadband ELF (300–3000 Hz) and VLF (3–30 kHz) radio signals from natural and man-made sources, based on existing designs used for decades at Stanford University. We describe the performance characteristics of the AWESOME instrument, including sensitivity, frequency and phase response, timing accuracy, and cross modulation. We also described a broad range of scientific applications as used by AWESOME ELF/VLF data, involving measurements of both subionospherically and magnetospherically propagating signals of both natural and man-made variety.

We also noted that the AWESOME receivers have been distributed under the auspices of the International Heliophysical Year (IHY), for the purpose of scientific capacity building and educational outreach. A joint space weather monitor program, including both the AWESOME receiver and a simpler version known as the SID, is described by [65].
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(34.62° S, 138.46° E), within 100 km of the geomagnetic conjugate point of an “Alpha” VLF transmitter, as shown in the map on the bottom right panel of Fig. 12. We note that these magnetospheric signals propagate at much lower latitudes (L ~ 1.95) than the magnetospheric signals excited from the Siple Station Antarctic transmitter [15] at L ~ 6 and the HAARP HF heating facility [63] at L ~ 4.9. The Russian “Alpha” network consists of three transmitters across Russia, at Komsomolsk (50.07° N, 38.16° E), Novosibirsk (55.76° N, 4.45° E), and Krasnodar (45.40° N, 38.16° E), each of which alternates between three different CW frequencies, so that navigation is made possible via triangulation using the phase measurements.

The top two panels show a series of four pulses at ~14.85 kHz, each with 400-ms length and separated by 200 ms and originating from Krasnodar, Komsomolsk, Novosibirsk, and Novosibirsk, respectively, and in that order, both at Adelaide and at Midway Atoll. The Krasnodar pulse is too weak at Midway to be detected, but all the others can be distinguished in the spectrogram. In the Adelaide spectrogram, the first of the two Novosibirsk pulses is clearly overwhelmed by a signal ~20 dB stronger superimposed onto it (whereas at Midway, where only the subionospheric signal is present, the two Novosibirsk pulses are not surprisingly of the same amplitude).

The phase accuracy of the signal detection enables us to explain this result as the arrival of the pulse from Komsomolsk via propagating in the whistler mode along a magnetospheric duct, so that its arrival via this slower mode of propagation nearly coincides with the arrival of the subionospheric pulse from Novosibirsk, i.e., a delay of ~600 ms with respect to the subionospheric signal. The bottom left panel of Fig. 12 shows how the two-channel nature of the AWESOME enables unambiguous distinction between these two types of signal, by measuring the phase difference between the north–south and east–west antenna signals after digitally extracting the amplitude of a frequency band at 14.88 kHz ± 40 Hz. We note that a threshold of −25 dB · pT is applied for the phase calculations so that only reliable measurements are shown. The subionospherically propagating signal shows little or no phase difference between the two channels, indicative of a linearly polarized wave. Subionospheric signals detected at long distances often consist of only a small number of Earth-ionosphere modes, being dominated by those with low attenuation, so that the receiver signal consists of linear polarization. However, whistler-mode signals propagating in the magnetosphere propagate with right-hand circular polarization. The magnetospherically propagating pulse clearly shows a nearly 90° phase difference. Analysis of a > 7-day period in early April 2007 shows periods of very high occurrences of one hops lasting on the order of a couple hours, which may also be connected to the $K_p$ index.

Furthermore, the narrowband extracted amplitude shown in the right center panel indicates some characteristics known to accompany ducted wave propagation in the magnetosphere, such as a growth phase and a saturation phase, believed to be due to interactions in the magnetosphere between the wave and radiation-belt particles [64]. It is interesting to note that even though only a small fraction of the VLF energy is absorbed into the magnetosphere, the amplitude of the magnetospherically propagating signal is nearly the same as the
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